Coating Human Pancreatic Islets With CD4⁺CD25<sup>high</sup>CD127<sup>−</sup> Regulatory T Cells as a Novel Approach for the Local Immunoprotection
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**Objectives:** To develop a novel approach for local immunoprotection using CD4⁺CD25<sup>high</sup>CD127<sup>−</sup> regulatory cells (Tregs) attached to the surface of the islets before transplantation.

**Background:** Tregs expanded ex vivo can control allo- and auto-reactivity, therefore, Treg-based therapy may offer more effective protection for transplanted islets from immunologic attack than currently used immunosuppression. Local application of Tregs can make such therapy more clinically feasible and efficient.

**Methods:** Human islets were isolated and coated with allogeneic ex vivo expanded Tregs using biotin-poly(ethylene glycol)-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (biotin-PEG-NHS) and streptavidin as binding molecules.

**Results:** Coating pancreatic islets with Tregs did not affect islet viability (>90% fluorescein diacetate/propidium iodide) or the insulin secretion profile in dynamic islet perfusion assays. After in vitro incubation with allogeneic T effector cells, Treg-coated islets revealed preserved function with higher insulin secretion compared with controls-native islets, coated islets with T effector cells or when Tregs were added to the culture, but not attached to islets (P < 0.05). In addition, the Enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISPOT) assay revealed suppression of interferon (IFN)-γ secretion, when T effector cells were challenged with Treg-coated islets comparing to controls (99 ± 7 vs 151 ± 8 dots, respectively; P ≤ 0.01).

**Conclusions:** We demonstrated, for the first time, the ability to bind immune regulatory targets to cell targets with preservation of their viability and function and protective activity against immune attack. If successfully tested in an animal model, local delivery of immunoprotective Tregs on the surface of transplanted pancreatic islets may be an alternative or improvement to the currently used immunosuppression.

(Ann Surg 2011;254:512–519)

Pancreatic islet transplantation offers advantages of a minimally invasive procedure in comparison to the pancreas transplantations for brittle persons with type 1 diabetes with hypoglycemia unawareness. However, effectiveness of this therapy is severely compromised by gradual islet mass loss after transplantation. Because the islet loss is substantially higher after islet allotransplantation in persons with type 1 diabetes than after autotransplantation due to chronic pancreatitis, it seems that recipient related allo- and auto-reactivity in combination with immunosuppression ineffectiveness and toxicity are dominant factors responsible for the failure of the procedure in persons with type 1 diabetes. Recently, it has been demonstrated that the use of stronger immunosuppression than proposed in the Edmonton protocol might improve results to some extent. Furthermore, recent studies indicate that the fate of islet grafts are predetermined by the level of auto-reactive T cells before transplantation regardless of the type of immunosuppression. The same conclusion was found on the basis of results from a large number of patients from Edmonton (B. Roep, oral communication at Levine Symposium, 2011). Moreover, the data indicate, that even the strongest currently available multiantigen immunosuppression (including cyclophosphamide and antithymocyte globulin) applied in autologous stem cell transplantation in persons with recently diagnosed type 1 diabetes was not able to control autoimmunity in those patients who had high activity of anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase and anti-Insulinoma Antigen 2 autoreactive CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells before transplant<sup>7</sup> (B. Roep, oral communication at Levine Symposium, 2011). In this light, it seems to be obvious that previous trials, which tested effectiveness of single drug immunosuppression, must have failed to prevent progression of type 1 diabetes in patients with new onset of the disease.

Therefore, looking for a new approach, we found regulatory T cells (Tregs) as a potential remedy. It was shown previously that Tregs can control allo- and autoimmunity and can be used successfully for the adoptive transfer in many animal models. However, only recent advances in technology allowed for effective isolation and expansion of Tregs ex vivo and first clinical applications. Preliminary results in bone marrow transplant patients to prevent or treat Graft Versus Host Disease (GVHD) are very encouraging. However, currently, Tregs have only been applied systemically, which requires application of very high number of cells. Systemic infusion of Tregs continues to have two known obstacles: (1) the large number of cells is still challenging to obtain, and (2) bears unknown risk of generalized, systemic immunologic unresponsiveness, opportunistic infections, or neoplasm.

Therefore in our study we proposed a new approach, where Tregs are isolated from peripheral blood, expanded ex vivo and then still in vitro attached to freshly isolated islets before transplantation. In the next step, Treg coated islets would be infused into the patients, so Tregs would localize and play an active role in the creation of an immunosuppressive environment at the engraftment site and local lymph nodes (once detached from islets), which are considered as the optimal locations for immunologic graft protection. In this way, the effect would be focused locally thus the immune modulation will be stronger and thus safer and more precise. Our approach is in contrast to
systemic infusion of Tregs, where they are dispersed in the lymphatic system and need chemokine trafficking to find the graft site. Our goal also is not to create mechanical barriers as in microencapsulation approach, because limited fluid and molecule exchange between islet and environment must eventually, in our opinion, compromise islet graft function.

In the current study, we tested in vitro feasibility of our approach, whether Tregs can be effectively attached to the surface of the islets without compromising cell viability and function and whether those Tregs may protect islets from immunologic destruction.

METHODS

Cell Preparation

Pancreatic islets were isolated from the human cadaveric pancreata in the University of Chicago current Good Manufacture Practices cellular isolation facility according to the Ricordi modified method.15,16 Liberase HI (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) was used for the organ digestion and COBE 2991 cell processor (CaridianBCT, Inc., Lakewood, CO) for islet separation with the continuous density gradient. Islet yield and purity were assessed after dithizone staining (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO; 2 mg/mL).

Tregs and T effector cells (Teffs) were isolated according to our previously described protocol.13,17 In brief, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from buffy coats obtained from volunteer blood donors by Ficoll/Uroplast column centrifugation. Then, negative immunomagnetic sorting (StemCell Technologies, Canada) was applied for CD4+ T cells (96%-99% purity) separation. Subsequently, CD4+ T cells were stained with the following cocktail of monoclonal antibodies, all purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA) (fluorochrome and clone in the brackets): anti-CD3 (PacificBlue or PE-Cy7, UCHT1), anti-CD4 (APC, RPA-T4), anti-CD8 (PerCP, SK1), anti-CD19 (PerCP, 4G7), anti-CD14 (PerCP, Mps9), anti-CD16 (PerCP-Cy5.5, 3G8), anti-CD25 (FITC, M-A251), anti-CD127 (PE, hIL-7R-M21), and 7-AAD Vio-probe. The cells were sorted with BD Biosciences FACSAria cell sorter (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) to the following phenotype of Tregs: CD3+CD4+CD25^hiCD127^−doublet−lineage−dead−. Next Tregs were in vitro expanded according to the previously described procedure.17 In brief, Tregs were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with glutamine (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 10% human inactivated AB serum and interleukin 2, and CD3/CD28 beads in a 1:1 ratio. The cells were passaged every day for 14 days. At the day +7, additional beads were added to equalize 1:1 bead/Tregs ratio. After expansion but before coating, a quality check of expanded Tregs phenotype with FoxP3 level at least 80% and they had secretion from autologous Teff cells.17

Islet Coating

Islets and Tregs were washed with Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) 3 times. Then, Tregs and islets were incubated separately in biotinylated poly(ethylene glycol)-N-hydroxysuccinimide (biotin-PEG-NHS) solution, (0.25 mg/mL for 2 × 10^6 cells and 0.45 mg/mL/400 islets) for 10 min at 37°C on the shaker. Subsequently, islets and Tregs were washed 3 times with HBSS. Then, Tregs were incubated with streptavidin (0.1 mg/mL) for 7 min at 37°C on the shaker, washed 3 times with HBSS and transferred to Petrie dish with the islets for 30-min final coating at 37°C on the shaker. Scheme of the coating protocol is presented in the Figure 1. The same procedure was applied for islets coated with allogeneic Teff-PBMC.

Assessment of the Coating Efficiency

To visualize the coating effectiveness, Treg were stained with Hoechst dye (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) before coating. In brief, 5 × 10^6 Tregs were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in Hoechst solution (2 μg/mL) for 30 min at room temperature. Next, cells were washed thrice with HBSS and subjected to the coating procedure as described earlier. Coating effectiveness and stability was assessed under the fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) immediately and 1 day after the coating. Additional images were taken using an Olympus IX80 DSU confocal microscope (New York, NY) with SlideBook software (Minneapolis, MN). Three-dimensional reconstruction of a stack of optical images was carried out using Imaris (Biplane, Saint Paul, MN). T cell coordinates were determined using manual cell mapping with Stereo Investigator (MicroBrightField, Williston, VT) software. Cutting plane reconstruction was performed by Mathematica (Wolfram Resech, Champaign, IL).

Assessment of Cell Viability After the Coating

To test the viability of the cells after modification, Treg coated islets were stained with propidium iodide (PI, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and fluorescein diacetate (FDA; Sigma, St. Louis, MO), which is a sign of cell death.

To assess, whether the coating affects the islet function, naked islets (100 islets/mL/well), Treg coated islets (100 islets/mL/well),...
and Teff-PBMC coated islets (100 islets/mL/well) were cultured in CMRL 1066 (Cellgro) with FBS (10%), L-glutamine and penicillin and streptomycin (100 U/mL and 100 μg/mL respectively; Sigma Aldrich, Germany) for 12 hours and then subjected to the dynamic perifusion assay.

Assessment: Whether Tregs Maintain Their Immunomodulatory Function and Protect Coated Islets From Destruction by Alloreactive Teffs

Long (72 h) cultures were prepared to assess, if Tregs attached to the surface of the islets protects them from allogeneic Teff cells. The clinical scenario, where Tregs would be isolated from Type I diabetic islet recipients was mimics by ensuring the Tregs were host origin, so autologous to Teffs but allogeneic to islets. Thus, Treg coated islets (100 islets/mL/well) were cultured with allogeneic Teff-PBMC (1.5 mln cells/mL/well) in CMRL 1066 (Cellgro) with Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (10%), L-glutamine and penicillin and streptomycin (100 U/mL and 100 μg/mL respectively; Sigma Aldrich, Germany). In parallel, controls were prepared (1) naked islets only (100 islets/mL/well); (2) naked islets (100 islets/mL/well) cocultured with Teff-PBMC (1.5 mln cells/mL/well); (3) naked islets (100 islets/mL/well) cocultured with Teff-PBMC (1.5 mln cells/mL/well) and Tregs (1.5 mln cells/mL/well) not attached to the islets but freely floating in the medium, (4) Teff-PBMC coated islets (100 islets/mL/well) exposed to free Teff-PBMC in the medium (1.5 mln cells/mL/well).

Subsequently, dynamic perifusion assay was performed for islets from each of above groups separately to assess islet function and the degree of islet destruction.

Dynamic Perifusion Assay

Dynamic perifusion assay allows assessment of islet function based on insulin secretion in response to changing concentrations of glucose during the perifusion. Thirty coated or naked islets from groups described earlier were placed separately in the flow-through perifusion chamber (Millipore Corp.) of the perifusion machine PERI-04 (Biorep Technologies). Fluid was driven through the chamber at a rate of 1 mL/min and was collected downstream in 96-well plates. Islets were perifused for 60 min at 37°C, with a modified Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate buffer, containing Bovine Serum Albumin (0.5%, w/v) and a basal low glucose concentration (3.3 mM) at pH 7.4. Subsequently, the concentration of the glucose changed to high-16.7 mM for 20 min, followed again by (low-3.3 mM) buffer for 20 min. Finally, the islets were perifused with KCl (33 mm) in Krebs–Ringer bicarbonate solution for the last 10 min to release the remaining amount of insulin. Insulin concentration was assessed in all samples with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to the manufacturer instructions. Samples were collected every 1 minute of the stimulation and insulin was measured in the samples from selected time points, which are depicted on the x-axis. Results were expressed as Stimulation Index values at several time points and plotted as a line on the figure. Stimulation Index was defined as the ratio of insulin released at a certain time point to the lowest basal level of insulin. Thus, use of Stimulation Index eliminates bias resulted from unequal islet size and different beta-cell mass within single islets.

To correlate degree of islet destruction by Teff-PBMC activity, IFN-γ secretion was measured with ELISPOT method.

Measurement of IFN-γ Secretion by Allogeneic Teff-PBMC

Naked and coated islets were cocultured for 12 h with Teff-PBMC in the same groups described earlier. In additional, positive control group anti-CD3 antibody was added to stimulate Teff PBMC to IFN-γ secretion. Amount of IFN-γ in the media was measured using ELISPOT according to the manufacturer instructions. Each experiment was made in triplicates, n = 5, data are presented as mean number of spots ± SE.

RESULTS

Tregs Were Effectively Attached to the Surface of the Pancreatic Islets Through NHS-PEG-Biotin-Streptavidin-Biotin-PEG-NHS Bonds

Tregs attached to the islets stained with Hoechst before coating procedure were not seen in light microscopy but exhibited homogeneous fluorescence in the ultraviolet light in contrast to uncoated islets (Fig 2). Additional confocal images confirmed effectiveness of the coating, the surface of the islets was covered with Tregs (Fig 3).

FIGURE 2. Tregs are effectively attached to the islets. Light and ultraviolet fluorescence microscopy imaging. Pictures present micrographs of the Treg coated islet: (A) in light microscopy, which is unable to visualize cells attached to the islet surface. Therefore, before coating, Tregs were stained with Hoechst and visualized in ultraviolet light due to blue fluorescence. It allowed to observe Tregs in blue on the surface of the islets after the coating (B).
Local Protection of Islets With Tregs

Coating Islets With Tregs Using Biotin-PEG-NHS and Streptavidin as Biding Molecules did not Affect Cell Viability

Freshly coated islets exhibited strong green fluorescence after staining with FDA and PI. Only a minimal number of PI positive (dead) cells were found also after 1-day culture so overall viability was high (>90%), and met criteria for clinical transplantation (Fig 4A). Separately, PI staining of the islets coated with Hoechst labeled Tregs excluded a substantial rate of the cell death after 1-day culture (Fig 4B).

Coating With Tregs did not Affect the Islet Function

Twelve hours after coating, the perifusion dynamic assay was performed to assess the effect of the coating procedure on islet function. The assay showed comparable profiles and amplitudes of insulin secretion curves by Treg or Teffs coated islets and naked islets, index of insulin secretion did not differ statistically (NS); (Fig 5).

Function of the Treg coated islets was better preserved after 72 h of coculture with allogeneic Teff-PBMC cells comparing to uncoated islets and other groups exposed to Teffs-PBMC (Fig 5; P < 0.05). Therefore, Tregs attached to the islets better protected them from immune destruction driven by allogeneic leukocytes compared to unattached Tregs or when none or other cells were attached to islets.

First, we confirmed that coating did not affect islet function even after 72-h in vitro culture. Uncoated islets and Treg-coated islets incubated alone exhibited similar profile and amplitude of insulin secretion in response to glucose and KCl (NS; Fig 6). However, in the presence of allogeneic PBMCs coated with allogeneic Teff-PBMC cells compared to uncoated islets and other groups exposed to Teff-PBMC (Fig 5; P < 0.05). Therefore, Tregs attached to the islets better protected them from immune destruction driven by allogeneic leukocytes compared to unattached Tregs or when none or other cells were attached to islets.

DISCUSSION

Natural Treg cells are a subset of CD4+ T cells originated from thymus which play a significant role in maintenance of peripheral tolerance. They are known to actively block immune responses, inflammation, and tissue destruction suppressing the functions of conventional CD4+ helper T cells, CD8+ cytotoxic T cells, NK cells, antigen-presenting cell function and maturation, and B cell antibody production and affinity maturation. Tregs also play an important role in inhibition of transplant rejection. Tregs play an important role in inhibition of transplant rejection. Previous studies revealed that graft survival positively correlates with the number of circulating Tregs, and that the adoptive transfer of these cells promotes tolerance to allogeneic pancreatic islet grafts in animals. Consequently, Tregs are excellent candidates to play major role in new protocols
FIGURE 4. The coating procedure does not affect islet and Treg viability. A, Treg coated islets were stained with fluorescein diacetate and PI after 1-day culture in aim to assess cell viability. Cells positive for fluorescein (green fluorescence) are viable, whereas, cells positive for PI (red fluorescence) are dead. Viability of more than 90% of Treg coated islets was confirmed on the basis of green and red fluorescence. B, Confocal images of Tregs attached to the islet, stained with Hoechst before coating. After a day of culture cells were stained with PI to detect death. Upper left—blue Tregs are surrounding invisible islet, what confirms in addition, is effectiveness of the coating; Upper right—red fluorescence of dead cells stained with PI; Lower left—superimposed Hoeschst and PI stained cells; only few double positive (red and blue)—dead Tregs seen in pink color; and Right lower—the same islet seen in brightlight. Viability of the islets and Tregs was well.

Involving transplant immunomodulation. An additional advantage, which is critical in persons with type 1 diabetes, is that Tregs are also able to control autoreactive destruction of transplanted islets. In our study, we tested the feasibility of a new approach for local immunoprotection, where regulatory T lymphocytes were bound in vitro with pancreatic islets. After separation from the peripheral blood and expansion ex vivo Tregs were bound in vitro to the sur-

FIGURE 5. Coating with Tregs does not affect islets function. Diagram presents dynamic perfusion assay results and insulin secretion by naked islets, islets coated with Tregs and islets coated with Teff-PBMC 12 hours after coating. Insulin secretion is expressed as a Stimulation Index (SI) and presented as mean SI ± SE (n = 5). There was no statistical difference in insulin secretion based on SI between the groups (NS).

FIGURE 6. Function of the Treg coated islets was preserved despite exposure to allogeneic effector T cells. Diagram presents dynamic perfusion assay results presented as mean SI ± SE. Naked islets (blue line) and islets coated with Tregs (green line) as positive controls were not exposed to allogeneic Teff-PBMC. Both groups expressed comparable insulin response profile proving preserved islets function after 72-h culture. In the remaining conditions, islets were exposed to allogeneic Teff-PBMC for 72 h. Islets coated with Tregs and exposed to allogeneic Teff-PBMC (red line) expressed preserved insulin response in contrast to other groups—naked islets exposed to allogeneic Teff-PBMC (orange line), naked islets exposed to allogeneic Teff-PBMC in presence of free Tregs (pink line) or islets coated with Teffs-PBMC and exposed to allogeneic Teff-PBMC (black line; P < 0.05).
Now we are testing the effect of the binding other type of cells to the islets limiting immune cell interactions, using K562-line cells without MHC molecules.

Historically, all attempts to create local immunoprotection around the islets were conceptually focused on construction of a mechanical barrier between the engrafted islets and host immune system. However, so far, all known encapsulation methods have failed to produce a clinically successful system. The most commonly used material-agarose was found to slow down the insulin diffusion, diminish acute insulin secretion response of the islets, cause oxygen and nutrient deprivation and induce a nonspecific foreign body reaction finally leading to the islet loss. To improve biocompatibility, encapsulation of the islets with living cells was also tested (rat islets with porcine chondrocyte) but eventually also failed. Recently, human islets were coated with HEK293 cells (human endoderm kidney cell line) for microencapsulation and immunoseparation. However, those cells overgrew the islet leading to compromised communication of islets with the local environment, islet central necrosis and slightly diminished insulin secretion.

In our approach, we did not aim for immunoseparation at all, because it would likely compromise fluid and molecule exchange. We believe that recipient Tregs are optimal cells to be attached to islets to create an immunoprotective microenvironment around them. First of all, Tregs are active suppressor cells, which not only prevent alloantigen recognition, but also actively affect the function of most of cells involved in immunologic response. The immune inhibition includes the autoreactive response what is critical for islet transplantation success in persons with type 1 diabetes. In addition, as long as Tregs are attached to the islets, they may protect them passively; covering the surface of the graft, they increase islet immunocompatibility, as they are host origin. They do not proliferate, so there is no risk that they will overgrow limiting fluid exchange between islets and environment, like tested cell line.

Bonds between the islets and Tregs are covalent so are relatively strong. Binding molecules are anchored on proteins of islet cell matrix and Treg cell membrane. However, because of protein turn over, which is shorter on the Treg cell membrane than on the islet, the islets and Treg will detach in vivo after the transplantation over some period of time. It may be also caused by variety of enzymes in vivo. We do not consider islet and Treg detachment as a potential failure, because the role of the Tregs is to protect the transplant in the place of the engraftment by their immunosuppressive activity, not physically separate islets from the environment, such as in microcapsules. We speculate that even after the detachment, Tregs would stay in the region of the graft due to chemokines secreted by host effector cells attacking the graft. Tregs may also go to the local lymph nodes and play tolerogenic role. In our approach, Tregs were attached to the islets to focus the Tregs at the graft site much more efficiently than separate islets from the environment, such as in microcapsules. We consider that even after the detachment, Tregs would stay in the region of the graft due to chemokines secreted by host effector cells attacking the graft. Tregs may also go to the local lymph nodes and play tolerogenic role. In our approach, Tregs were attached to the islets to focus the Tregs at the graft site much more efficiently than separate islets from the environment, such as in microcapsules. We speculate that even after the detachment, Tregs would stay in the region of the graft due to chemokines secreted by host effector cells attacking the graft. Tregs may also go to the local lymph nodes and play tolerogenic role. In our approach, Tregs were attached to the islets to focus the Tregs at the graft site much more efficiently than separate islets from the environment, such as in microcapsules.

As a next step, we plan to test effectiveness of our technique in an animal model. Tregs will be isolated from transplant recipients before the procedure and ex vivo expanded. If it is successful, translation of the proposed approach into a clinical setting is feasible. Currently, we are able to isolate enough Tregs from 500 mL of patient peripheral blood and expand them in vitro for clinical application. It was shown that Tregs isolated from blood of persons with type 1 diabetes are as immunosuppressive as Tregs isolated from healthy individuals (also our unpublished data). We have initiated the first clinical study with systemic use of Tregs in prediabetic children in Poland and a similar study is recruiting patients in San Francisco (personal communications). Other centers in Europe have started using Tregs in kidney transplantation.

### FIGURE 7

Treg coated islets induce lower IFN-γ secretion by allogeneic Teff and PBMC cells than naked islets. Diagram presents IFN-γ secretion by allogeneic Teff-PBMC exposed to naked and coated islets and expressed as number of spots in ELISPOT. The upper panel shows statistics. The lower panel presents photos of the test results. Differences, which were statistically significant (*P < 0.05; ANOVA), are marked with "*". Although, addition of free, unattached Tregs to the naked islets coculture with Teff-PBMC significantly decreased secretion of the IFN-γ (*P < 0.05). The strongest suppression of the Teff-PBMC; therefore, the lowest secretion of IFN-γ was observed, when Teff-PBMCs were exposed to Treg coated islets (*P < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Number of spots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naked islets + Teff-PBMC</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naked islets + Teff-PBMC + Tregs</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naked islets + Teff-PBMC + Treg coated islets</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islets coated with Treg coated islets + Teff-PBMC</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islets coated with Treg coated islets + Teff-PBMC</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **TST**: Naked islets + Teff-PBMC
- **TST + Tregs**: Naked islets + Teff-PBMC + Tregs
- **TST + Treg coated islets**: Naked islets + Teff-PBMC + Treg coated islets
- **TST + Treg coated islets + Teff-PBMC**: Islets coated with Treg coated islets + Teff-PBMC

*Copyright © 2011 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.* Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.
transplant recipients (M. Battaglia, oral communication at Levine Symposium, 2011).

The Biotin-PEG-NHS molecule used for binding the cells together has been found to be nontoxic and nonimmunogenic and may promote islet survival in vivo limiting ischemia reperfusion injury.1 PEG has an established history in wide range of approved clinical applications as a material that can be implanted into the human body. Another advantage of this kind of polymer is that it allows development of a uniformly thin coating despite islet populations that are heterogeneous in size and does not affect the islet function and viability.2,24 Furthermore, as we confirmed in our previous studies the thickness of this coating can be strictly controlled by the procedure conditions,24 which is important in clinical studies requiring standardized techniques.

Concluding, we are proposing a novel approach for a local immunoprotection, which combines natural immunosuppressive capacity of Treg cells with bioengineering technology. Our data suggest that coating of pancreatic islets with Tregs may prevent immunemediated rejection and does not affect the cell viability and function. Local delivery of immunosuppressive Tregs on the surface of pancreatic islets to the engraftment site is a clinically feasible approach. If further animal studies confirm its effectiveness and safety of the approach, it may be utilized for improvement of islet transplantation.
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DISCUSSIONS

K. Brumay (Charlottesville, VA):

Islet transplantation remains an attractive alternative to traditional insulin therapy for diabetic patients. However, the effectiveness of clinical islet transplantation therapy is limited by a number of factors, including gradual loss of islet function and loss of insulin independence after transplantation. This presents a serious challenge in the new era of regenerative medicine and cell replacement strategies. I have 3 questions for the authors.

First, regulatory T-cells have been demonstrated to control auto- and allogeneic immunity. Because type 1 diabetes is an established autoimmune disease, with inherent regulatory T-cell defects, do you have any evidence that Tregs from animal models of diabetes or diabetic humans can be expanded ex vivo and used in the manner outlined in your presentation? Second, regulatory T-cells can be subdivided in certain circumstances, such as in the setting of inflammation, to become other T-cell subtypes, such as TH17 cells, which are proinflammatory. Do you have any evidence that the stability of the Treg phenotype in the islet-binding complex in the milieu of inflamma-
tory cytokines, such as might be seen in the actual setting of in vivo transplantation?

Third, the Treg islet T–effector cell cocultures demonstrated effective immunoprotection. Could you hypothesize on the mechanism for protection?

Response From J. M. Millis:

There is evidence that Tregs can affect the autoimmune response in this situation; Tregs isolated from persons with type 1 diabetes blood showed robust immunosuppressive effect in vitro. Recently, a clinical trial has started to look at the ability of Treg therapy to rescue “fresh” persons with type 1 diabetes. As to your second question, we know that in the different Treg populations there are different levels of stability and that the specific subgroup of Tregs that we utilize in our in vitro analysis is the most stable. The first clinical studies with the same Treg therapy in GVHD patients confirm their immunosuppressive effects. How that stability translates to an in vivo model in combination with islets, is still yet to be determined. Regarding the mechanism of Treg activity, there are a variety of mechanisms involved in immunoprotection, some of which rely on cell–to–cell contact and others on cytokine secretion, such as interleukin-10. We believe the effect that we see in these studies is from the immunomodulation activities of the Tregs rather than a stereochemical effect of the cells bound to PEG or to the islet. The same effect is not seen when other cells are attached to islets, so we believe the effect is because of Treg modulation rather than a physical barrier, per se, around the islets.

DISCUSSANTS

A. Tzakis (Miami, FL):

Could you please comment on the purity of the preparation that is used for the coating of the islet cells, and whether the method is applicable for human use or if it is limited to laboratory use. Also, can the Tregs be derived from the donor rather than the recipient? Would you speculate on the use of donor Tregs for coating?

Response From J. M. Millis:

The islets are isolated just as they would be for clinical islet transplantation, with the same level of purity and viability, so we believe that the same method can be used for clinical islet transplantation. As to whether the Tregs from the donor rather than the recipient can be used, we felt that the advantage of getting the Tregs from the recipient is that immunomodulation is achieved through indirect antigen presentation rather than through an allogenic cell interaction.

DISCUSSANTS

A. Tzakis (Miami, FL):

I’m sorry, I meant the purity of the Treg preparation.

Response From J. M. Millis:

Both Tregs and islets have been used in clinical settings, albeit in separate studies, and the technology and materials used to attach Tregs to islets do not preclude clinical application of the approach. The use of recipient Tregs has the additional advantage that the recipient cells covering donor islets decrease the immunogenecity of the graft in contrast to donor Tregs.

DISCUSSANTS

G. Warnock (Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada):

Your complex islets are quite a bit bigger than native islets. How do you think this may affect the ability to inject them so they remain in suspension? Also, what will be the duration of action of the Treg constituents when you perform in vivo studies? My final question pertains to the effect on the viability of the human islets. Do you see any membrane leakiness of the islets if you look at absolute insulin release? Do they have higher basal insulin release?

Response From J. M. Millis:

Yes, the complex of the islets is theoretically going to be larger, primarily because of the additional Treg cells that are surrounding the islet. But practically speaking, the actual PEG binding intermediary is one nanometer, making the PEG part very small. And we certainly can keep coated islets in suspension. The question is whether the additional size is going to cause problems, and whether we might have to space out some of the infusions versus the standard method, which is basically infusing all of the mass at once into the portal vein. Certainly, this is an area that we will look at as we move forward to clinical application. Your third question deals with the duration of the bind. We have to look at the bind in 2 parts. The PEG complex is bound to the islet and the Treg cell. We have found that the binding of the PEG to the islet is more stable than the binding of the PEG to the Treg cell, and that, in 3 days, about 30% of the binding has gone away in culture. It is certainly going to be different in vivo. Once a Treg drops off of its binding site in vivo, there are presumably some chemokines that will actually keep the Treg attracted to that area or perhaps to the local lymph node, it will probably still be effective. We are focusing on the Treg in that area even once it drops off, expecting it to drop off at a reasonable time but expecting it to stay in that same area. As to viability, we have not seen any effect of this process on viability. The viability stains are the same as what we see in clinical islet transplantation, and what we look at perfusion. We see the same cycle with the perfusion studies as we do with normal naked islets, so we did not notice increased basal insulin release.